(b) the reasons as to how rule 30-A was applied on the Marketing Executive as stated in the referred questions on the absence since 12-3-89 (as stated) when the said rule was not even existing in the IDPL CDA Rules ;

(c) whether the C&MD issued the charge sheet as disciplinary authority under. n;!e ?5 and not under rule 30-A when the later was already in force and exist ing in the rules book of IDPL; if so, the reasons therefor ;

(d) what action Government propose to take against IDPL for providing mis-information to the above mentioned replies ;

(e) whether Government would consider to conduct a thorough probe in to this case as the replies given to the questions asked are vague ;

(f) if so, whether the probe would be conducted by an independent and impartial party ; and

(g) if not, the reasons therefor ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO) : (a) to ..(g) The question relates to a former Marketing Executive of IDPL whose services were terminated by the company in accordance with the provisions of Conduct, Discipline & Appeal Rules of the company. For employees below the Board level, Government is neither the Appointing, Disciplinary, Appellate nor the Reviewing Authority and as such the Government does not intervene in the service matters of such employees of the public sector undertakings.

The company has reported that the said employee had filed a Writ Petition in the Hon'ble Delhi High Court against the disciplinary proceedings initiated against him by the management and the writ petition was dismissed by the Hon'ble Delhi High Court. While dismissing the petition, the Hon'ble Delhi High Court observed 'The petitioner has been unauthorisedly abstaining himself from joining since March, 1989. This is nothing short of indiscipline. In these circumstances, we are not inclined to interfere. Dismissed."

Aggrieved by these orders of the High Court, the petitioner filed two Special Leave Petitions in the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India which were also rejected.

Complaints of non-inclusion of drugs

3079. DR. NARREDDY THULASI REDDY: SHRI RAJNI RANIAN SAHU :

SHRI ANANT RAM JAISWAL : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question No. 466 given in the Rajya Sabha on 29th July, 1993 and state :

(a) whether it is a fact that Government have received a number of complaints for non-inclusion of National Health Programmes and their medicines under category-I;

(b) if so, when were such complaints received and what action Government took after that; and

(c) what are the names of drugs against whom Government received complaints or non-inclusion under Category I and II and what are the names of drugs which have not been included despite complaints ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISIRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO) : (a) to (c) Yes, Sir. After announcement of DPCO, 1987, Government received a number of representations in regard to inclusion/exclusion of bulk drugs under price control which have since been considered and form the part of the review of the drug policy 1986 in regard to which a background note has been placed on the Table of the House on 12-8-1992 for discussion.

Import of Sulphadiazine

3080. DR. NARREDDY THULASI REDDY:

SHRIMATI SATYA BAHIN :

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) what was the landed cost of import of Sulphdiazine during the year 1990-91 to date, yearwise ;

(b) what is the price of Sulphadiazine allowed in the formulations to M/s Rhone Poulenc, M/s. Hindustan Ciba Geigy and other major formulators ;

(c) Whether it is a fact that prices of formulations have been fixed without verifying the actual cost of procurement; and

(d) what steps have been taken to recover the amount over-charged by the producer and who is responsible for this state of affairs ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS. (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO) : (a) to (d) Price of Rs. 511.79 per Kg of Sulphadiazine has been adopted for suo moto revision of the prices on 6-7-1993 of all such formulations of Sulphadiazine were the net impact was reduced in price.